| ì | | What is claimed is: | | | |
|----|-------------|---------------------|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | (9) C | LAIMS | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | 1. | A model for compiling a specification of a process definition comprising: | | |
| 6 | | | service nodes, wherein each of said service nodes is a representation of a | | |
| 7 | | consu | mer service; and | | |
| 8 | | | a first flow diagram sequencing said service nodes as a representation of the | | |
| 9 | | process definition. | | | |
| 10 | H | | | | |
| 11 | | 2. | The model as set forth in claim 1 further comprising: | | |
| 12 | C | | method nodes, wherein each of said method nodes is a representation of | | |
| 13 | 1 (C.) (C.) | execu | table operations inherent to a consumer service represented by one of said service | | |
| 14 | | nodes | nodes. | | |
| 15 | ļ | | | | |
| 16 | | 3. | The model as set forth in claim 2 further comprising: | | |
| 17 | | | wherein each of said service nodes is expandable into a second flow diagram of | | |
| 18 | | metho | od nodes. | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | 4. | The model as set forth in claim 1 wherein each of said service nodes is executed by | | |
| 21 | | acces | ssing an electronic service registered on an electronic service platform. | | |
| 22 | | | | | |

| 1 | | 5. | The model as set forth in claim 1 wherein each of said service nodes comprises: | |
|----|--------|------------|--|--|
| 2 | | | consumer service-level properties. | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | 6. | The model as set forth in claim 5 wherein said consumer service-level properties | |
| 5 | | comp | prises: | |
| 6 | | | a service search recipe or service selection rule. | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | ٥ | 7. | The model as set forth in claim 5 wherein said consumer service-level properties | |
| 9 | | comprises: | | |
| 10 | H O | | a service reuse. | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | 8. | The model as set forth in claim 5 wherein said consumer service-level properties | |
| 13 | | comprises: | | |
| 14 | TITHUT | | a service-inherent method flow. | |
| 15 | • | | | |
| 16 | | 9. | The model as set forth in claim 1 wherein each of said service nodes comprises: | |
| 17 | | | consumer authentication properties. | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | 10. | The model as set forth in claim 1 wherein each of said service nodes comprises: | |
| 20 | | | consumer and service certification properties. | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| | | | | |

| l | | 11. | The model as set forth in claim 1 wherein each of said service nodes comprises: | |
|----|----------|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | service-level exception handling rules. | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | 12. | The model as set forth in claim 1 wherein each of said service nodes comprises: | |
| 5 | | | the definition of interaction flow, defining how the interaction with the service is | |
| 6 | | conducted. | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | 13. | The model as set forth in claim 2 wherein each of said method nodes comprises: | |
| 9 | | | representations of operations executed within the context of an electronic service | |
| 10 | H | registered with a electronic services platform. | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | <u> </u> | 14. | The model as set forth in claim 13 each of said method nodes further comprises: | |
| 13 | | | the service operation to call. | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | jenis | 15. | The model as set forth in claim 13 each of said method nodes further comprises: | |
| 16 | | | invocations for a specific operation of the method node. | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | 16. | The model as set forth in claim 13 each of said method nodes further comprises: | |
| 19 | | | input data, including formatting and handling specifications. | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | 17. | The model as set forth in claim 13 each of said method nodes further comprises: | |
| 22 | | | output data, including formatting and handling specifications. | |
| | | | | |

The model as set forth in claim 13 each of said method nodes further comprises: 18. 1 method-level exception handling rules. 2 3 The model as set forth in claim 1 wherein said specification is a composition of 19. 4 individual electronic services. 5 6 The model as set forth in claim 1 applied in a distributed computer network 20. 7 environment. 8 9 The model as set forth in claim 1 wherein said process is a workflow. 21. 10 11 The model as set forth in claim 1 wherein said process is a composite electronic 22. 12 13 service. 23. A computer tool for compiling a specification of a process comprising: 15 computer code for representing a plurality of individual services as service nodes, 16 wherein each of said service nodes is representative of a respective service invocation 17 setup phase for each of the individual services; and 18 computer code for compiling a set of the service nodes into a composite service 19 forming a generically defined flow said process. 20 21 22

| 1 | | 24. | The computer tool as set forth in claim 23 comprising: | |
|----|---|--|---|--|
| 2 | | | said service nodes are expandable into method nodes, wherein method nodes are | |
| 3 | | repres | sentative of at least one respective operation inherent to a respective one of the | |
| 4 | | individ | dual services which is expanded thereto. | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | 25. | The computer tool as set forth in claim 24 comprising: | |
| 7 | | | said method nodes represent a plurality of inherent executable operations | |
| 8 | associated with a respectively associated one of the individual services. | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | ľ | 26. | The computer tool as set forth in claim 23 comprising: | |
| 11 | | | each said service nodes provides executable functions related to setting up | |
| 12 | 2 | communication with each of said individual services. | | |
| 13 | i V | | | |
| 14 | | 27. | The computer tool as set forth in claim 23 comprising: | |
| 15 | 11 | | the composite service is a service node flow specifying generic functionalities | |
| 16 | common to said process. | | non to said process. | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | 28. | A computer tool for compiling a specification of a process and executing the | |
| 19 | specification of the process comprising: | | fication of the process comprising: | |
| 20 | | | computer code for representing a plurality of individual services as service nodes, | |
| 21 | | where | ein each of said service nodes is representative of a respective service invocation | |
| 22 | | setup | phase for each of the individual services; | |
| | | | | |

DUPLICATION TORKTHOR

computer code for compiling a set of the service nodes into a composite service forming a generically defined flow of said process;

computer code for executing the specification of the process represented by the generically defined flow by expanding each node of said set of the service nodes into method nodes, invoking functionalities of the individual services thereby, wherein each of said method nodes represent a plurality of inherent executable operations associated with a respectively associated one of the individual services.

29. A method for structuring individual electronic services registered on an electronic service platform, the method comprising:

providing a top level having service nodes representative of extracted common elements of the composite service;

providing a subsidiary level, wherein said service nodes are expanded into method nodes for execution of specific operations inherent to a respective electronic service represented thereby; and

providing linking nodes in the top level for connecting said service nodes into a process flow, wherein said flow forms a hierarchical specification having a sequential series of said individual electronic services.

30. The method as set forth in claim 29 further comprising: providing event nodes.

| 1 | | 31. | The method as set forth in claim 30 in an internet environment. | |
|----|----------|-------------|---|--|
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | 32. | The method as set forth in claim 31 further comprising: | |
| 4 | | | executing a process for providing electronic services over the internet environment | |
| 5 | | by ex | ecuting the hierarchical specification. | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | 33. | A method of executing a given composite process, defined as including a plurality | |
| 8 | | of ind | ividual electronic services registered on an electronic services platform, the method | |
| 9 | | comprising: | | |
| 10 | | | segregating generic electronic services common to the given composite process | |
| 11 | | from | operations respectively inherent to each of said generic electronic services; | |
| 12 | C | | compiling a composite process flow using said generic electronic services; and | |
| 13 | tų Tu | | invoking each operations functionalities of each of said generic electronic services | |
| 14 | | by ex | pansion of each of said generic electronic services into said operations only as | |
| 15 | - | need | ed to continue said composite process. | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | 34. | The method as set forth in claim 33, said compiling further comprising: | |
| 18 | | | compiling a plurality of the individual electronic services as associated with a search | |
| 19 | | for da | ata associated with said given composite process having at least one requirement | |
| 20 | • | from | each of said individual generic electronic services. | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |

| 1 | | 35 . | The method as set forth in claim 33, said compiling further comprising: | |
|----|--------|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | compiling a composite process definition as a sequential series of service nodes, | |
| 3 | | where | in each said service node is a specification related to invoking communications with | |
| 4 | | a spe | cific one of said service nodes. | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | 36. | The method as set forth in claim 35 said executing further comprising: | |
| 7 | | Ì | including method nodes for each of said service nodes wherein said method nodes | |
| 8 | | are invocations of operations inherent with an associated one of the generic electronic | | |
| 9 | ű ű | services. | | |
| 0 | F | | | |
| 1 | | 37. | A computer tool for composing electronic service searching runtime criteria | |
| | | comprising: | | |
| 3 | | | computer code for structuring a plurality of service nodes, wherein each of said | |
| 4 | | service nodes is representative of a generic service and includes only those criteria | | |
| 5 | 뇬 | essential to invoking said service; | | |
| 6 | | | computer code for invoking a plurality of method nodes, wherein a set of method | |
| 7 | | nodes | s is representative of operations inherent to an associated one of said service nodes; | |
| 8 | | and | | |
| 9 | | | computer code for linking nodes sequencing said service nodes into a coherent | |
| 20 | | flow r | epresentative of a composite service including more than one generic service. | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | 38. | The tool as set forth in claim 37 comprising; | |

22

1

computer code for handing event nodes.